UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

AREN LEE JAYE,		Case No. 10-cv-12732
Plaintiff,		HONORABLE STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III
v.		TIONORABLE STEITHEN 9. MORT TIT, III
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,		
Defendant.	/	

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
(docket no. 17), GRANTING THE COMMISSIONER'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT (docket no. 16), DENYING JAYE'S MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (docket no. 11), AND DISMISSING CASE

Karen Lee Jaye filed this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) to challenge the Social Security Administration's denial of disability benefits. The matter was referred to a magistrate judge for all pretrial proceedings. Both Jaye and the Commissioner filed crossmotions for summary judgment. The magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation ("Report") after taking the motions under consideration. He suggests that the Court grant the Commissioner's motion, deny Jaye's motion, and dismiss the case. Jaye did not file timely objections to the Report.

Civil Rule 72(b) governs review of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation. De novo review of the magistrate judge's findings is only required if the parties "serve and file specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Nevertheless, because a district judge always retains jurisdiction over a motion after referring it to a magistrate judge, he is entitled to review the magistrate judge's findings of fact and conclusions of law on his own initiative *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 154 (1985) (clarifying that while a district court judge need not review a report and

2:10-cv-12732-SJM-CEB Doc # 18 Filed 07/13/11 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 279

recommendation "de novo if no objections are filed, it does not preclude further review by

the district judge, sua sponte or at the request of a party, under a de novo or any other

standard").

Jave did not file timely objections to the Report. Therefore, no de novo review of the

motions is required. Having reviewed the Report's analysis, in light of the administrative

record developed in this case, the Court finds that the Report's conclusions are factually

based and legally sound. Accordingly, it will adopt the Report's findings, and enter an

appropriate judgment dismissing the action.

WHEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that the Report (docket no. 17) is ADOPTED,

the Commissioner's motion for summary judgment (docket no. 16) is **GRANTED**, Jaye's

motion for summary judgment (docket no. 11) is **DENIED**, and the complaint is **DISMISSED**

WITH PREJUDICE.

SO ORDERED.

s/Stephen J. Murphy, III

STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III

United States District Judge

Dated: July 13, 2011

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or

counsel of record on July 13, 2011, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Carol Cohron

Case Manager

2